App F IX 4

4 *Previous References*
me 139 28 85

192 8
(me)

File No 248 28

Serial Nos /

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

1* *Serial Nos*

Part B for May 29

SUBJECT

~~Levy of municipal tax on the building~~
Correspondence by the manager of the Rex
office & the Welt Heros of Esplanade in re
the Lucele

papers ordered to be filed

ml 2148-28-1

POLICE DEPARTMENT

No C 2504/28
Gen 3

OFFICE OF THE
INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE

Dated Bangalore 9/13 4 19 9.

FROM

S HIRIANNAIYA Esq MA BL
Inspector General of Police in Mysore
Bangalore

To

P Mahadevayya, Esq, B A, B L,
Legal Remembrancer & Secretary to the
Government of Mysore- Law Department,
Bangalore

Sir,

I have the honor to enclose herewith for the information of Government copies of my letter to and the reply from the President, City Municipality, Bangalore with a copy of the letter from the Revenue Manager addressed to me with his official designation regarding levy of tax on the building occupied by this office and to state that formal communications of the sort referred to should in my opinion be signed by the President or other Gazetted Officer and not by the Manager as has been done in the present case

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Hd Qr Office Assist to the Inspector General of Police
For Inspector General of Police
(absent from station)

The Inspector General of Police takes objection to the signing of letters

PTO

(2

App F III—2}

Secretariat — File No 248 of 1928

Serial No

Q

by the Revenue Manager on the authority of the municipal Council having authorised the Municipal Commissioner to delegate his power to the Revenue Manager. ~~Date~~ the appointment of the municipal Commissioner ceased to exist from 1 12 1928 and the Demand Notice has been issued by the Revenue Manager on 18 3 29. Law Secretariat, to whom the letter is addressed, may perhaps be consulted if the procedure is in order—

Submitted for orders

Sd/- 23/4/29 Sd/- Secy

Law Secy with compliments

Sd/- 25/4

No action of the MG Manager is necessary, though he seems to be working mechanically and many Corporation correspondence requires that the Municipal Council should have signed the letter addressed to—

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB 30 000—18-4-28

4580
LSG

(3)

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No 248 | App F III 2] of 1928

Serial No

Mr L G P Given powers do not allow Summonses to be issued by Municipal Officers to Heads of departments where this and fractions of Cents So much work would seem to be necessary to regulate Municipal work where necessary seems to be done mechanically

D Mr 14/5

Gen - Secy with Comments

As the procedure adopted is under the action of the Rev Manager may not be questioned But where collection of Cesses does from Govt or any department there of entails correspondence, the correspondence may perhaps be conducted in the name of the President

2nd Member 13/5 16/5

A very trivial matter

CSJ 16/5

Mr WL 17/5

Submitted

Paper will be filed, if agreed.

Secy 20/5 - 20/5

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY COUNCIL
17 MAY 1929
8909

SECRETARIAT
23 MAY 1929
L S G BRANCH

NOTE—Cover sheet

WP 921 [illegible] 30-28 5-36

5

Proceedings of the Special General meeting of the City Municipal Council, Bangalore held on 17th March 1929

No No 339 (Rev) One Triplicate Bill Office of the City Municipality, Bangalore dated the 13th Mar 29 13th 4 th January 1924

lth Officer

ion 186(m) of

From

Revenue Manager,
City Municipal Office,
Bangalore

Char

To

The Inspector General of Police in Mysore,
Bangalore

924

Sir

I have the honour to herein enclose the bill for Rs 60-02 towards tax on No 9, Fort P Street, Bangalore City for 2nd half year ending 30th June 1929, and to request that you will be so good as to kindly make arrangement for early payment of the same

ncil at their resolution No 1) of the amended ne Revenue nder sections Regulation

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Sd P Sampangi Ramaiya
Revenue Manager

o,

ioner

Copy"

For Inspector General of Police

For Inspector General of Police

b

Letter No C 2504/28 dated 20th March 1929 from the Inspector General of Police in Mysore to the President City Municipal Council, Bangalore

---

I have the honor to state that a letter herewith returned in the form of an official communication over the signature of your office Revenue Manager bearing No To 339(Rev) dated 13/18th March 1929 addressed to me to my official designation has been received in this office to day and to request that the authority under which your office Revenue Manager is empowered to issue communications to Gazetted Officers of Government with his official title may kindly be quoted -

---

Letter No 339/dated 26th March 1929 from the President, City Municipality Bangalore City to the Inspector General of Police in Mysore-Bangalore

---

In reply to your letter No C 2504/28 dated the 21st March 1929 requesting to be informed of the authority under which the Municipal Revenue Manager is empowered to issue communications to Gazetted officers of Government with his official title, I have the honor to inform you that the powers, functions and the duties contemplated under section 82,83 & 84 of the Municipal Regulation re recovery of municipal claims have been delegated to the Revenue Manager and I think he is in order in having sent you the bill for the tax due on the building occupied by your office A copy of the Council Resolution dated 17th March 1924 is enclosed

---

COPIES

for Inspector General of Police